Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume 1, No. 2, (April 2011), pp. 189- 196 ISSN 2093-9310 http://www.afmi.or.kr

©FMI © Kyung Moon Sa Co. http://www.kyungmoon.com

# Permuting tri-(f, g)-derivations on lattices

Mustafa Asci, Osman Kecilioglu, Sahin Ceran

Received 2 November 2010; Revised 9 December 2010; Accepted 27 December 2010

ABSTRACT. In this paper as a generalization of permuting tri-derivations and permuting tri-f-derivations of a lattice, we introduce the notion of Permuting tri-(f, g)-derivations of a lattice. If the function g is equal to the function f then the permuting tri-(f, g)-derivation is the permuting tri-f-derivation defined in [19]. Also if we choose the functions f and g the identity functions both then the derivation we define coincides with the derivation defined in [15].

2010 AMS Classification: 06B35, 06B99, 16B70

Keywords: Lattice, Derivation, Permuting tri-(f, g)-derivation.

Corresponding Author: Mustafa Asci (mustafa.asci@yahoo.com)

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The lattice algebra has an important role and has many applications in information theory, information retrieval, information access controls and cryptanalysis. For more information one can study [1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 16, 20].

Szász introduced the notion of lattice derivation and gave interesting results [17]. Also in [10] the author studied this lattice derivation. In [18] Xin et al. improved derivation for a lattice and discussed some related properties. They gave some equivalent conditions under which a derivation is isotone for lattices with a greatest element, modular lattices and distributive lattices.

In [6] Çeven and Öztürk gave a generalization of derivation on a lattice which was defined in [18]. Çeven in [5] introduced the symmetric bi derivations on lattices. Çeven and Öztürk [7] discussed some properties of symmetric bi- $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations in near-rings. The author investigated some related properties. He characterized the distributive and modular lattices by the trace of symmetric bi derivations. Ozbal and Firat in [13] introduced the notion of symmetric *f*-bi-derivation of a lattice. They characterized the distributive lattice by symmetric *f*-bi-derivation. In [14] Öztürk introduced the notion of permuting tri-derivations in rings and proved some results. Also in [15] Öztürk et al. introduced the permuting triderivations in lattices. Yazarli and Öztürk generalized the permuting tri-derivations to permuting tri-f-derivations in [19]. In this paper as a generalization of [15] and [19] we introduce the notion of permuting tri-(f, g)-derivations of a lattice. We give illustrative example. We define the isotone permuting tri-(f, g)-derivation and get some interesting results about isotoneness. We characterize the distributive and isotone lattices by permuting tri-(f, g)-derivations.

# 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** ([3]). Let L be a nonempty set endowed with operations  $\land$  and  $\lor$ . If  $(L, \land, \lor)$  satisfies the following conditions for all  $x, y, z \in L$ 

(1)  $x \wedge x = x, x \vee x = x$ (2)  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x, x \vee y = y \vee x$ (3)  $(x \wedge y) \wedge z = x \wedge (y \wedge z), (x \vee y) \vee z = x \vee (y \vee z)$ (4)  $(x \wedge y) \vee x = x, (x \vee y) \wedge x = x$ then L is called a lattice.

**Definition 2.2** ([3]). A lattice *L* is distributive if the identity (5) or (6) holds. (5)  $x \land (y \lor z) = (x \land y) \lor (x \land z)$ (6)  $x \lor (y \land z) = (x \lor y) \land (x \lor z)$ 

**Definition 2.3** ([3]). Let  $(L, \wedge, \vee)$  be a lattice. A binary relation  $\leq$  is defined by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x \wedge y = x$  and  $x \vee y = y$ .

**Lemma 2.4** ([18]). Let  $(L, \wedge, \vee)$  be a lattice. Define the binary relation  $\leq$  as the Definition 2.3. Then  $(L, \leq)$  is a poset and for any  $x, y \in L$ ,  $x \wedge y$  is the g.l.b. of  $\{x, y\}$  and  $x \vee y$  is the l.u.b. of  $\{x, y\}$ .

**Definition 2.5** ([15]). Let *L* be a lattice. A mapping  $D : L \times L \times L \to L$  is called permuting if it satisfies the following conditions D(x, y, z) = D(x, z, y) = D(y, x, z) = D(y, z, x) = D(z, x, y) = D(z, y, x) for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

**Definition 2.6** ([15]). A mapping  $d: L \to L$  defined by d(x) = D(x, x, x) is called the trace of D where D is a permuting mapping.

**Definition 2.7** ([15]). Let L be a lattice and D be a permuting tri-derivation on L. We call D joinitive if it satisfies

$$D(x \lor w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \lor D(w, y, z)$$

for all  $x, y, z, w \in L$ .

**Definition 2.8** ([19]). Let L be a lattice. A permuting mapping  $D: L \times L \times L \to L$  is called permuting tri-f-derivation if there exists a function  $f: L \to L$  such that

 $D(x \land w, y, z) = (D(x, y, z) \land f(w)) \lor (f(x) \land D(w, y, z))$ 

for all  $x, y, z, w \in L$ .

# 3. Permuting tri-(f,g)-derivations of lattices

**Definition 3.1.** Let *L* be a lattice and  $D: L \times L \times L \to L$  be a permuting mapping. *D* is called permuting tri-(f,g)-derivation of *L* if there exist functions  $f,g: L \to L$  such that

$$(3.1) D(x \land w, y, z) = (D(x, y, z) \land f(w)) \lor (g(x) \land D(w, y, z))$$

for all  $x, y, z, w \in L$ .

Obviously a permuting tri-(f, g)-derivation D on L satisfies the relations

$$D(x, y \land w, z) = (D(x, y, z) \land f(w)) \lor (g(y) \land D(x, w, z))$$

and

$$D(x, y, z \land w) = (D(x, y, z) \land f(w)) \lor (g(z) \land D(x, y, w)).$$

For special case, if we get the function g equal to the function f then our derivation coincides with the permuting tri-f-derivation in [19]. Also if we get the functions f and g the identity functions then our derivation is the derivation defined in [15].

**Example 3.2.** Let us take the lattice  $1^3$  which is given by the following diagram.



Define a function D on L by

$$D(x,y,z) = \begin{cases} 1, & (x,y,z) = & (0,0,0) \\ 0, & (x,y,z) = & (0,0,1), (0,1,0), (0,1,1), (1,0,0) \\ 0, & (x,y,z) = & (1,0,1), (1,1,0), (1,1,1) \end{cases}$$

then D is not a permuting tri-derivation of L since

$$1 = D(0, 0, 0)$$
  
= D(0 \lapha 0, 0, 0)  
\neq (D(0, 0, 0) \lapha 0) \lapha (0 \lapha D(0, 0, 0))  
= 0.

If we define functions f and g on L respectively f(0) = f(1) = 1 and g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1, then  $f \neq g$  and D defined above is a permuting tri-(f,g)-derivation of L. Also if we get f(0) = g(0) = 1 and f(1) = g(1) = 1 then f = g and D is a permuting tri-f-derivation of L.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let L be a lattice and d be the trace of permuting tri-(f,g)-derivation D on L. Then

$$d(x) \le (f(x) \lor g(x))$$

for all  $x \in L$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x \wedge x = x$  for all  $x \in L$  and from the definition of trace we have

$$d(x) = D(x, x, x) = D(x \land x, x, x) = (D(x, x, x) \land f(x)) \lor (g(x) \land D(x, x, x)).$$

Since  $D(x, x, x) \wedge f(x) \leq f(x)$  and  $D(x, x, x) \wedge g(x) \leq g(x)$ , we get that  $d(x) \leq f(x) \vee g(x)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Let L be a lattice and D be a permuting tri-(f,g)-derivation on L. Then  $D(x, y, z) \leq f(x) \lor g(x)$ ,  $D(x, y, z) \leq f(y) \lor g(y)$  and  $D(x, y, z) \leq f(z) \lor g(z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x \wedge x = x$  for all  $x \in L$  then we have

$$D(x,y,z) = D(x \wedge x, y, z) = (D(x,y,z) \wedge f(x)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(x,y,z)).$$

Since  $D(x, y, z) \wedge f(x) \leq f(x)$  and  $D(x, y, z) \wedge g(x) \leq g(x)$ , we get

$$D(x, y, z) \le f(x) \lor g(x)$$

Similarly 
$$D(x, y, z) \le f(y) \lor g(y)$$
 and  $D(x, y, z) \le f(z) \lor g(z)$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** Let D be a permuting tri-(f, g)-derivation on a lattice L. If L has a least element 0, such that f(0) = 0 and g(0) = 0, then D(0, y, z) = 0.

*Proof.* From Proposition 3.3 we have  $D(x, y, z) \leq (f(x) \lor g(x))$  for all  $x, y, z \in L$ . Since 0 is the least element of the lattice then  $0 \leq D(0, y, z) \leq (f(0) \lor g(0)) = 0$ . Then we say that D(0, y, z) = 0.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let L be a lattice with a greatest element 1 and D be a permuting tri-(f,g)-derivation on L such that f(1) = g(1) = 1. Then the following are valid:

(i) If  $f(x) \le D(1, y, z)$  and  $g(x) \le D(1, y, z)$  then  $D(x, y, z) = (f(x) \lor g(x))$ . (ii) If  $f(x) \ge D(1, y, z)$  and  $g(x) \ge D(1, y, z)$  then  $D(x, y, z) \ge D(1, y, z)$ .

Proof. (i) Since

$$D(x, y, z) = D(x \land 1, y, z)$$
  
=  $(D(x, y, z) \land f(1)) \lor (g(x) \land D(1, y, z))$   
=  $D(x, y, z) \lor g(x)$ 

then we have

(3.2)

$$(x) \le D(x, y, z).$$

q

Similarly since  $x \wedge 1 = 1 \wedge x$  then we can write

$$D(x, y, z) = D(1 \land x, y, z)$$
  
=  $(D(1, y, z) \land f(x)) \lor (g(1) \land D(x, y, z))$   
=  $f(x) \lor D(x, y, z).$ 

Again we have

(3.3) 
$$f(x) \le D(x, y, z).$$
  
192

From (3.2) and (3.3) we have

 $(f(x) \lor g(x)) \le D(x, y, z).$ 

From Proposition 3.3 we have  $D(x, y, z) \leq (f(x) \lor g(x))$ . Finally we have

 $\left(f\left(x\right)\vee g\left(x\right)\right)\leq D(x,y,z)\leq \left(f\left(x\right)\vee g\left(x\right)\right),$ 

which completes the proof.

(ii) Since

$$\begin{array}{lll} D(x,y,z) &=& D(x \wedge 1,y,z) \\ &=& (D(x,y,z) \wedge f\left(1\right)) \vee (g\left(x\right) \wedge D(1,y,z)) \\ &=& D(x,y,z) \vee D(1,y,z) \end{array}$$

then we have

$$D(x, y, z) \ge D(1, y, z).$$

**Theorem 3.7.** Let L be a distributive lattice and D be a permuting tri-(f,g)-derivation on L with the trace d. Then

 $d(x \wedge y) = (d(x) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge d(y)) \vee \{(g(x) \wedge f(y)) \wedge [D(x, x, y) \vee D(x, y, y)]\}$ for all  $x, y \in L$ .

*Proof.* From the definition of the trace we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x \wedge y) &= D(x \wedge y, x \wedge y, x \wedge y) \\ &= (D(x, x \wedge y, x \wedge y) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(y, x \wedge y, x \wedge y)) \\ &= \{ [(D(x, x, x \wedge y) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(x, y, x \wedge y))] \wedge f(y) \} \\ &\quad \vee \{ g(x) \wedge [(D(y, x, x \wedge y) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(y, y, x \wedge y))] \} \\ &= \{ (d(x) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(x, x, y) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(x, y, y) \wedge f(y)) \} \\ &\quad \vee \{ (g(x) \wedge d(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(y, x, x) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(y, x, y) \wedge f(y)) \} \\ &= (d(x) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge d(y)) \vee \{ (g(x) \wedge f(y)) \wedge ((D(x, y, y) \vee D(x, x, y)) \} . \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let L be a distributive lattice and D be a permuting tri-(f,g)-derivation on L with the trace d. Then,

(i)  $(g(x) \wedge f(y)) \wedge D(x, x, y) \leq d(x \wedge y), (g(x) \wedge f(y)) \wedge D(x, y, y) \leq d(x \wedge y).$ 

- (ii)  $g(x) \wedge d(y) \le d(x \wedge y)$ .
- (iii)  $d(x) \wedge f(y) \le d(x \wedge y)$ .

*Proof.* (i), (ii) and (iii) are easily seen from the theorem above.

**Corollary 3.9.** Let L be a distributive lattice and 1 be the greatest element of L. For special cases  $(g(x) \wedge f(1)) \wedge D(x, x, 1) \leq d(x \wedge 1) = d(x)$  and  $g(x) \wedge d(1) \leq d(x \wedge 1) = d(x)$  for all  $x \in L$ .

**Corollary 3.10.** Let L be a distributive lattice, D be a permuting tri-(f,g)-derivation on L with the trace d and 1 be the greatest element of L. Then

(i)  $g(x) \ge d(1) \Rightarrow d(x) \ge d(1)$ .

(ii)  $g(x) \le d(1)$  and  $f(x) \le g(x)$  for all  $x \in L \Rightarrow g(x) = d(x)$ .

**Definition 3.11.** Let L be a lattice and D be a permuting tri-(f, g)-derivation on L with the trace d.

- (i) If  $x \leq y$  implies  $d(x) \leq d(y)$  then d is called an trace isotone mapping.
- (ii) If d is one to one, d is called a trace monomorphic mapping.
- (iii) If d is onto then d is called an trace epic mapping.

**Definition 3.12.** Let L be a lattice and D be a permuting tri-(f, g)-derivation on L, if  $x \leq y$  implies  $D(x, w, z) \leq D(y, w, z)$  then D is called an isotone permuting tri-(f, g)-derivation on L

**Proposition 3.13.** Let L be a lattice and d be the trace of permuting tri-(f,g)-derivation D on L Then the following conditions are equivalent;

- (i) d is an isotone mapping.
- (ii)  $dx \lor dy \le d(x \lor y)$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose that d is an isotone mapping. We know that  $x \leq x \lor y$  and  $y \leq x \lor y$ . Since d is isotone then  $d(x) \leq d(x \lor y)$  and  $d(y) \leq d(x \lor y)$ . Hence we get  $d(x) \lor d(y) \leq d(x \lor y)$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  Suppose that  $d(x) \lor d(y) \le d(x \lor y)$  and  $x \le y$ . Then we get  $d(x) \le d(x) \lor d(y) \le d(x \lor y) = d(y)$ . This means that d is an isotone mapping.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.14.** Let L be a lattice with greatest element 1 and D be an isotone permuting tri-(f,g)-derivation on L. Let f(1) = g(1) = 1 and either  $f(x) \ge g(x)$  or  $f(x) \le g(x)$  for all  $x \in L$ . Then

$$D(x, y, z) = (f(x) \lor g(x)) \land D(1, y, z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that D is an isotone permuting tri-(f, g)-derivation on L. Then  $D(x, y, z) \leq D(1, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in L$ . Now suppose that  $f(x) \geq g(x)$  for  $x \in L$ . Then we have  $D(x, y, z) \leq f(x) \lor g(x) = f(x)$ . From this we get  $D(x, y, z) \leq f(x) \land D(1, y, z)$ . Also

$$D(x, y, z) = D((x \lor 1) \land x, y, z)$$
  
=  $[(D(x \lor 1), y, z) \land f(x)] \lor [g(x \lor 1) \land D(x, y, z)]$   
=  $[D(1, y, z) \land f(x)] \lor [g(1) \land D(x, y, z)]$   
=  $[D(1, y, z) \land f(x)] \lor [1 \land D(x, y, z)]$   
=  $[D(1, y, z) \land f(x)] \lor D(x, y, z)$   
=  $D(1, y, z) \land f(x)$ 

Since  $f(x) \lor g(x) = f(x)$  then we get

$$D(x, y, z) = (f(x) \lor g(x)) \land D(1, y, z).$$
194

Now suppose that  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for  $x \in L$ . Then similarly we have  $D(x, y, z) \leq f(x) \vee g(x) = g(x)$ . From this we get  $D(x, y, z) \leq g(x) \wedge D(1, y, z)$ . Also

$$D(x, y, z) = D(x \land (x \lor 1), y, z)$$
  
=  $[(D(x, y, z) \land f(x \lor 1)] \lor [g(x) \land D((x \lor 1), y, z)]$   
=  $[D(x, y, z) \land f(1)] \lor [g(x) \land D(1, y, z)]$   
=  $[D(x, y, z) \land 1] \lor [g(x) \land D(1, y, z)]$   
=  $D(x, y, z) \lor [g(x) \land D(1, y, z)]$   
=  $g(x) \land D(1, y, z)$ 

Since  $f(x) \lor g(x) = g(x)$  then we get

$$D(x, y, z) = (f(x) \lor g(x)) \land D(1, y, z).$$

This completes the proof.

## 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper as a generalization of permuting tri-derivation and permuting trif-derivation of a lattice we introduced the notion of permuting tri-(f, g)-derivation of a lattice. We defined the isotone permuting tri-(f, g)-derivation and got some interesting results about isotoneness. We characterized the distributive and isotone lattices by permuting tri-(f, g)-derivation. If the function g is equal to the function fthen the permuting tri-(f, g)-derivation is the permuting tri-(f, g)-derivation defined in [19]. Also if we choose the functions f and g the identity functions both, then the derivation we define coincides with the derivation defined in [15].

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

#### References

- R. Balbes and P. Dwinger, Distributive Lattices, University of Missouri Press, Columbia, Mo., 1974.
- [2] A. J. Bell, The co-information lattice, 4th Int. Symposium on Independent ComponentvAnalysis and Blind Signal Seperation (ICA2003) Nara, Japan (2003) 921–926.
- [3] G. Birkhoof, Lattice Theory, American Mathematical Society, New York, 1940.
- [4] C. Carpineto and G. Romano, Information retrieval through hybrid navigation of lattice representations, International Journal of Human-Computers Studies 45 (1996) 553–578.
- [5] Y. Çeven, Symmetric bi derivations of lattices, Quaestiones Mathematicae, 32 (2009) 1–5.
- [6] Y. Çeven and M. A. Öztürk, On f-derivations of lattices, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 45 (2008) 701–707.
- [7] Y. Çeven and M. A. Öztürk, Some properties of symmetric bi-(σ, τ)-derivations in near-rings, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 22 (2007) 487–491.
- [8] C. Degang, Z. Wenxiu, D. Yeung and E. C. C. Tsang, Rough approximations on a complete completely distributive lattice with applications to generalized rough sets, Inform. Sci. 176 (2006) 1829–1848.
- [9] G. Durfee, Cryptanalysis of RSA using algebraic and lattice methods, A dissertation submitted to the department of computer sciences and the committe on graduate studies of Stanford University (2002) 1–114.
- [10] L. Ferrari, On derivations of lattices, Pure Math. Appl. 12 (2001) 365–382.
- [11] A. Honda and M. Grabisch, Entropy of capacities on lattices and set systems, Inform. Sci. 176 (2006) 3472–3489.

- [12] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, On derivations of BCI-algebras, Inform. Sci. 159 (2004) 167–176.
- [13] S. A. Ozbal and A. Firat, Symmetric *f*-bi-derivations of lattices, Ars Combin. 97 (2010) 471–477.
- [14] M. A. Öztürk, Permuting tri-derivations in prime and semi-prime rings, East Asian Math. J. 15 (1999) 177–190.
- [15] M. A. Oztürk, H. Yazarlıand K. H. Kim, Permuting tri-derivations in lattices, Quaest. Math. 32 (2009) 415–425.
- [16] R. S. Sandhu, Role hierarchies and constraints for lattice-based access controls, Proceedings of the 4th Europan Symposium on Research in Computer Security, Rome, Italy, 1996, 65–79.
- [17] G. Szász, Derivations of lattices, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 37 (1975) 149–154.
- [18] X. L. Xin, T. Y. Li and J. H. Lu, On derivations of lattices, Inform. Sci. 178 (2008) 307–316.
- [19] H. Yazarhand M. A. Oztürk, Permuting tri-f-derivations in lattices, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. (in press).
- [20] J. Zhan and Y. L. Liu, On f-derivations of BCI-algebras, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2005:11 (2005) 1675–1684.

<u>MUSTAFA ASCI</u> (mustafa.asci@yahoo.com) – Pamukkale University Science and Arts Faculty Department of Mathematics, Denizli, TURKEY

<u>OSMAN KECILIOGLU</u> (okecilioglu@yahoo.com) – Kirikkale University Science and Arts Faculty Department of Mathematics, Kirikkale, TURKEY

<u>SAHIN CERAN</u> (sceran@pau.edu.tr) – Pamukkale University Science and Arts Faculty Department of Mathematics, Denizli, TURKEY